

**Thomas H. Tongue, OSB No. 68167**  
E-Mail: tht@dunn-carney.com  
**George J. Cooper, OSB No. 72056**  
E-Mail: gjc@dunn-carney.com  
Dunn Carney Allen Higgins & Tongue LLP  
851 S.W. Sixth Avenue, Suite 1500  
Portland, Oregon 97204-1357  
Telephone: (503) 224-6440

**Fredric C. Nelson, CA Bar No. 48402**  
E-Mail: fcnelson@thelen.com  
**John R. Foote, CA Bar No. 99674**  
E-Mail: jrfoote@thelen.com  
Thelen Reid Brown Raysman & Steiner LLP  
101 2nd Street, Suite 1800  
San Francisco, CA 94105-3601  
Telephone: (415) 371-1200  
Facsimile: (415) 371-1211

**Kevin J. Arquit, NY Bar No. 039865**  
E-Mail: karquit@stblaw.com  
**Joseph F. Tringali, NY Bar No. 5235618**  
E-Mail: jtringali@stblaw.com  
Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP  
425 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10017  
Telephone: (212) 455-7680  
Facsimile: (212) 455-2502

Attorneys for Defendant Weyerhaeuser Company

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF OREGON

**MORELOCK ENTERPRISES, INC.,**

**No. CV04-583-PA**

Plaintiffs,

v.

**WEYERHAEUSER COMPANY,**

Defendant.

**AFFIDAVIT OF GEORGE J. COOPER  
IN SUPPORT OF MEMORANDUM OF  
LAW IN OPPOSITION TO CLASS  
COUNSEL'S MOTION FOR AWARD  
OF CLASS REPRESENTATIVE  
INCENTIVE FEE TO MORELOCK  
ENTERPRISES**

STATE OF OREGON            )  
  ) ss.  
County of Multnomah        )

I, George J. Cooper, being first duly sworn, depose and say:

1. I am a member of the firm of Dunn Carney Allen Higgins & Tongue LLP, counsel to defendant Weyerhaeuser Company (“Defendant ”), in the above-captioned action.

2. I have reviewed the Declaration of Scott Morelock in Support of Request for Class Representative Fee, dated May 14, 2008. In it, Mr. Morelock contends that he was subject to harassment and intimidation from Defendant in his role as Class Representative in this matter. This Affidavit is intended to put certain events into proper context and, more importantly, to dispel any perception on the part of Mr. Morelock that the actions of Defendant were anything but necessary and appropriate in light of the issues in this litigation.

3. As reflected in the Joint Scheduling Report filed by the parties on September 16, 2004, Plaintiff sought an expedited treatment of class certification issues, including discovery. Plaintiff proposed that Defendant file any opposition to class certification by October 18, 2004.

4. As a result, it was necessary for Defendant to obtain documents and take depositions relevant to class certification issues on an expedited basis. At that time, it was unclear to Defendant whether Mr. Morelock had a present affiliation with the named Plaintiff, Morelock Enterprises, Inc. Defendant was aware that Mr. Morelock had filed a personal bankruptcy just prior to this litigation being filed, and that there had been a sale of the assets of Morelock Enterprises, Inc. At that time, Defendant was also generally aware of another legal

